

العنوان:	مقارنة خوارزميات الجدولة للعمليات المستقلة مع خوارزمية مقترحة
المصدر:	المجلة العراقية للعلوم الإحصائية
الناشر:	جامعة الموصل - كلية علوم الحاسوب والرياضيات
المؤلف الرئيسي:	العبادي، محمود محمد طاهر يونس
المجلد/العدد:	ع 17
محكمة:	نعم
التاريخ الميلادي:	2010
الصفحات:	385 - 400
رقم MD:	420833
نوع المحتوى:	بحوث ومقالات
قواعد المعلومات:	EcoLink
مواضيع:	معالجة البيانات ، الخوارزمية ، الاساليب الاحصائية ، التحليل الاحصائي ، الاحصاء ، البيانات الاحصائية ، البرامج الالكترونية ، البرامج الاحصائية ، الحاسبات الالكترونية
رابط:	<a href="http://search.mandumah.com/Record/420833">http://search.mandumah.com/Record/420833</a>

المجلة العراقية للعلوم الاحصائية (17) 2010  
عدد خاص بوقائع المؤتمر العلمي الثاني للرياضيات-الاحصاء والمعلوماتية  
ص ص [400 – 385]

\*

:

Longest Processing Time Scheduling algorithm(LPT)

Shortest Processing Time Scheduling

algorithm(SPT)

## Comparison between Scheduling Algorithms of Independed Processing with a proposed algorithm

### Abstract:

This paper investigates the analysis of scheduling algorithm of independed processing like the Longest Processing Time Scheduling algorithm(LPT) and Shortest Processing Time Scheduling algorithm(SPT) and walking a comparison between them with a proposed algorithm depending on the measuring length scheduling of each of algorithms to get the ideal solution through applying some examples.

**-: Introduction -1**

Central )

(CPU)(Processing Unit

Non Preemptive Scheduling )

(Preemptive )

( Algorithms

.Scheduling Algorithms

CPU )

.(Waiting Time)

.(CPU Utilization)

.(Throughput

.(Turnaround Time)

.(Response Time)

.(Scheduling Length)

(Fairness)

-2

**[8] [7] [6] [5] Scheduling Problem**

2-1

(Operating System)

(Process)

(Multiprocessor System)


<sup>[3]</sup>Number of Processors 2-2

(Uniprocessor System)

<sup>[4]</sup> Idleness Processor 2-3

, (2-1)

$\Phi$



-

<b>P1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	$\Phi$	$\Phi$	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>P2</b>	$\Phi$	$\Phi$	<b>3</b>	$\Phi$	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	$\Phi$	$\Phi$

-

(2-1)

( Crantt Chart )

-3

<sup>[2]</sup> <sup>[1]</sup> Scheduling Criteria : 3-1

: (CPU Utilization ) -1

: (CPU Throughput ) -2

: (Turnaround Time ) -3

: ( Waiting Time) -4

(Ready Queue )

: (Response Time) -5

: (Scheduling Length) -6

<sup>[2]</sup> Independent Tasks Scheduling :


3-2

Algorithms




### The proposed Algorithm

3-2-3




...



:

<b>Pr1</b>	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	3	3	4	4
<b>Pr2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	Φ	Φ
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

(LPT)



:

<b>Pr1</b>	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	5	5	5	5	5	5
<b>Pr2</b>	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	Φ	Φ	Φ
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

(SPT)

(4) (Pr1)

(3)

(1)


( )

:

Pr1	5	5	5	5	2	2	5	5	2	4	4	2
Pr2	2	2	1	1	3	3	1	1	3	3	1	5
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

(5)

(2)



(5)




( )

(1)


(2)

(2)



...




(3)



(2)








5



( )  
· (3)




(1,2,3,5)  
(2)

6




(5)

(1)






7





...

(5)

(1)






8





( )  
. (3)

(4,3,2)  
(2)



9



:  
-  
(LPT)

:

<b>Pr1</b>	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	Φ	Φ
<b>Pr2</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	Φ
<b>Pr3</b>	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	


(LPT)

<b>Pr1</b>	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	Φ	Φ	Φ
<b>Pr2</b>	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
<b>Pr3</b>	1	1	1	1	1	Φ	Φ	Φ	Φ	Φ	Φ
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11

(SPT)

<b>Pr1</b>	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
<b>Pr2</b>	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	3
<b>Pr3</b>	1	1	3	3	3	2	2	5
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

### The proposed Algorithm



(LPT)

<b>Pr1</b>	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	5	5	5
<b>Pr2</b>	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	Φ	Φ	Φ
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

(LPT)

(SPT)

<b>Pr1</b>	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4
<b>Pr2</b>	5	5	5	1	1	1	1	1	Φ	Φ	Φ	Φ	Φ
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

(SPT)

<b>Pr1</b>	4	4	4	4	1	5	5	3	3	1	5
<b>Pr2</b>	1	1	3	3	2	2	1	4	4	2	Φ
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11

**The proposed Algorithm**

(LPT)

<b>Pr1</b>	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	Φ
<b>Pr2</b>	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
<b>Pr3</b>	3	3	3	3	Φ	Φ	Φ	Φ	Φ	Φ
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	

(LPT)

(SPT)

Pr1	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	Φ	Φ
Pr2	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Pr3	1	1	1	1	Φ	Φ	Φ	Φ	Φ	Φ
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

(SPT)

Pr1	4	4	4	4	4	3	3
Pr2	1	1	1	5	5	5	2
Pr3	3	3	2	2	1	1	4
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

The proposed Algorithm

		SPT	LPT	
				:
12		14	13	-
8		11	9	-
				:
11		13	12	-
7		10	9	-

...

	:	
LPT		-1
		.SPT
		-2
		-3
		-4
	" 2007	-1
. 11	7	"
"	"(2003)	-2

- 3- Fernandez ,E .B .and Bussel , B.(1973),"Bounds on the Number of Processors and Time for Multiprocessor Optimal Schedules", IEEE Trans.comput,Vol.C-22,No.8
- 4-Hwang, K. and Briggs, F.A. (1984), "Computer Architecture And Parallel Processing" , Mc Graw-Hill, Inc., New York
- 5- Muntz, R. R. (1969)"Optimal Preemptive Scheduling on two Processor Systems",IEEE Transaction on computing, Vol. C-18,No.11.
- 6-Peng,D. T, Shin, K.G. and Abdel Zaher ,T. F.(1997),"Assignment and scheduling Communicating Periodic Tasks in Distributed Real-Time Systems", IEEE ,Transaction on Software Engineering,Vol.23,No.12.
- 7- Oliver Sinnen ,(2007)," Task Scheduling For Parallel Systems", John Wiley , Canada
- 8-Vincent T'kindt and Jean-Charles Billaut, Translator Henry Scott ,(2006)" Multicriteria Scheduling" ,Springer , Germany.